

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/576,975	04/20/2006	Holger Krummel	851.0015.U1(US)	8160
29683 7590 10/27/2009 HARRINGTON & SMITH, PC 4 RESEARCH DRIVE, Suite 202			EXAMINER	
			VAUGHAN, MICHAEL R	
SHELTON, CT 06484-6212			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2431	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/27/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/576,975	KRUMMEL ET AL.			
Examiner-initiated interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	MICHAEL R. VAUGHAN	2431			
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Pending</u>					
(1) MICHAEL R. VAUGHAN.	(3)				
(2) <u>John Garrity</u> .	(4)				
Date of Interview: 23 October 2009	Time: <u>11:30am</u>				
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicat ☐ Skhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ If Yes, provide a brief description:	int's representative)				
Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: n/a					
Claims discussed: Claims 1 and 2 and related claims					
Prior art documents discussed: n/a					
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:			
Part III.					
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.					
/William R. Korzuch/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2431	And in set of Paragraphs	in Cimpus if any series			
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive озданите – и арргоргане)			

Application No. 10/576,975

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner and Applicant dicussed an amendment which would clarify the invention. The invention as a whole was discussed to formulate an amendment which conveyed the determinations and the outcome of those determinations. Applicant agreed to formally submit a supplement amendment that clarified the discussed issues.